# **AGENDA**

- Phase I Outstanding Items
- Current Deliverables Status/Issues
- Contract Amendments
- Next Meeting

### 1.3 & 1.4 Project Web Page

#### Status:

- Currently underway.
- Screen samples submitted to County on 9/19/05

#### Issues:

Confirmation of agency contact names and information is requested from County

## 2.1.5.3 & 2.1.5.4 Norwalk System Architecture Diagram

#### Status:

- Submitted on 1/27/05, currently under review by County. Received County comments on 8/4/05.
- Final version will reflect Econolite's proposal on 9/15/05.

#### Issues:

This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

### 2.1.9.3 & 2.1.9.4 South Gate System Architecture Diagram

#### Status:

- Submitted on 3/2/05. Received County comments on 5/11/05.
- . Waiting for City of South Gate comments.

#### Issues:

This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

## 2.2.9.1 & 2.2.9.2 Norwalk LCC Site Report and Layout

#### Status:

- Submitted 4/15/05. Received County/City comments on 5/11/05.
- Final version will reflect Econolite's proposal on 9/15/05.

#### Issues:

This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

## 2.2.6.1 & 2.2.6.2 South Gate LCC Site Report and Layout

### Status:

- Currently underway. Received City Hall and City Yard floor plan on 5/11/05. Received County comments on 6/17/05.
- . Waiting for City of South Gate comments.

#### Issues:

This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

### 2.1.5.1 & 2.1.5.2 Norwalk ATMS SOW and Specification

#### Status:

Submitted draft version on 4/29/05.

#### Issues:

- Econoite provided budgetary cost proposal on 6/27/05. Review comments and updated controller inventory submitted to Econolite on 7/14/05.
- Econolite provided 2<sup>nd</sup> budgetary cost proposal on 8/19/05. Initial review comments provided verbally by NET to Econolite.
- Econolite provided 3<sup>rd</sup> budgetary cost proposal on 9/15/05 to address the following NET/County comments:
  - o Make system diagrams match equipment list
  - o Include information on maintenance response times and penalties
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

## 2.1.9.1 & 2.1.9.2 South Gate Signal System Evaluation Report

## Status:

- Currently underway.
- City of South Gate has selected Econolite Icons for their signal system.

#### Issues:

 This task had been paced with the on-site evaluation that the City is currently doing with Icons and then with Transuite.

## 2.3.1.1 Summary of Controller Cabinet Recommendations

#### Status:

- Submitted on 5/29/05.
- No comments back from stakeholders.

#### Issues:

This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

## 2.3.1.2 Summary of CCTV Location Recommendations

#### Status:

- Submitted on 2/9/05
- No comments back from stakeholders.

#### Issues:

This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

## 2.3.2.1, 2.3.2.2, & 2.3.2.3 Field Components PS&E

#### Status:

- First PS&E Submittal Version 2.0, submitted 4/25/05.
- County and City comments received on 8/18/05.
- Additional utility information received on 9/1/05.
- No comments received from SFS.

## Issues:

- Electronic as-built information has not been obtained for several areas within the
  project limits, however we have now received at least hard copies for all but 5 CCTV
  location intersections. These must still be provided by the County and will cause the
  delivery of Second PS&E submittal to be delayed.
- Electronic utility information has not been obtained for several areas within the
  project limits. (See attached matrix.) or even hard-copies. Additional utility
  information was received in hard-copy format on 9/1/05. These must still be
  provided by the County and will cause the delivery of Second PS&E submittal to be
  delayed.
- There remains 12 intersections (CCTV locations) that are proposed to use DSL communications for video transmission must be located in coordination with telephone company. Depending on the cooperation with the telephone company, this task may cause the delivery of Second PS&E submittal to be delayed. County is deciding whether to keep these locations in the scope of the PS&E project.

• Railroad bridge attachment permit and flood control channel bridge permit must be applied for with the responsible agencies.

# Task 7.1: Evaluation of Video Distribution System

### Status:

- Submitted on 6/18/05, currently under review by County.
- County has decided not to move forward with remaining sub-tasks on Task 7.1 and Task 7.2